arXiv:math-ph/0510076v2 28 Aug 2007

ULM-TP/05-4
October 2005

# ON THE CHARACTERISTIC EXPONENTS OF FLOQUET SOLUTIONS TO THE MATHIEU EQUATION

JAN ERIC STRÄNG

Abstract. We study the Floquet solutions of the Mathieu equation. In order to find an explicit relation between the characteristic exponents and their corresponding eigenvalues of the Mathieu operator, we consider the Whittaker-Hill formula. This gives an explicit relation between the eigenvalue and its characteristic exponent. The equation is explicit up to a determinant of an infinite dimensional matrix. We find a third-order linear recursion for which this determinant is exactly the limit. An explicit solution for third-order linear recursions is obtained which enables us to write the determinant explicitly.

## 1. Introduction

The Mathieu equation is a special case of Hill's equation

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2}+(a-2q\phi(z))\,\psi=0$$

where $\phi(z)$ is a $\pi$-periodic differentiable function of the real variable $z$ of maximum absolute value 1 and $a$, $q$ are real constants[1]. Thus, the equation can be written in terms of the Fourier transform of $\phi(z)$ as

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2}+\left(\theta_0+2\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\theta_{2r}\cos(2rz)\right)\psi=0 \tag{1.1}$$

if the function $\phi(z)$ is even. The Mathieu equation is a restriction to $\phi(z)=\cos(2z)$ of the Hill equation, i.e.

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2}+(a-2q\cos(2z))\,\psi = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

The equation was originally studied in the context of vibrational modes of an elliptic membrane by É. Mathieu [1]. Considering time periodic solutions, the initial wave equation can be separated and written in elliptic coordinates [2, 3], consequently the wave equation takes the form of eq. (1.2). In a similar fashion, this procedure can be applied to heat equations on elliptic domains [2]. Furthermore, the equation appears in solid mechanics with a periodic perturbation, e.g. for a rod fixed on one end and being under periodic tension at the other end [2].

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33E10; Secondary 40A25.

*Key words and phrases.* Mathieu equation, Hill determinant, linear recursions, characteristic exponent.

[1] Throughout this paper we will make an effort to use existing conventions regarding the notations of the Hill and Mathieu equations (see for example [2])

The Hill equation also appears in quantum mechanics as an eigenvalue problem for a particle in a periodic potential [4]. If we restrict the potential to $2Q\cos(2z)$ in one dimension, the Schrödinger equation ($\hbar \equiv 1$, $2m \equiv 1$)

$$\imath \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} + 2Q\cos(2z)\,\psi$$

will lead to an eigenvalue problem for the operator $\hat{H} = -\partial^2/\partial z^2 + 2Q\cos(2z)$,

$$E\psi = -\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} + 2Q\cos(2z)\,\psi,$$

which is easily written in the form of eq. (1.2)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} + (E - 2Q\cos(2z))\,\psi = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

identifying $a = E$ and $q = Q$. This particular problem is of special importance in solid state physics where the crystal lattice atoms constitute a periodic potential for loosely bound electrons. Eq. (1.3) is a crude one dimensional simplification of such a potential.

After a short introduction to the Mathieu equation, we will describe (section 2.1) the Floquet theorem and its application to the Mathieu equation. We will then state some general properties of the Floquet solutions (section 2.2) and more specifically the Whittaker-Hill formula (section 2.3) which has given rise to this work. We will then focus on the calculation of an infinite dimensional determinant (section 3) needed to solve the equation given by the Whittaker-Hill formula. In section 3.2 we describe a method to obtain an explicit result for a third order linear recursion. In section 3.3, an explicit form of this determinant is given.

## 2. The Mathieu equation

There exist a multitude of solutions to the Mathieu equation (1.2), both periodic and non-periodic. What is distinctive is that they cannot generally be written in terms of elementary functions, i.e., they are transcendental functions. For a summary see, e.g., [5, 6] and for a more complete account with examples see [2, 3]. There also exists a number of approximation schemes for the Floquet solutions [5, 6, 2, 3]. To our knowledge, the most recent approximations are due to Frenkel and Portugal [7]. We will restrict our study to periodic solutions and more specifically to the Floquet solutions of eq. (1.2).

### 2.1. **Floquet Solutions.**

As the coefficients of the Mathieu eq. (1.2) are periodic, one can apply the Floquet theorem. According to Floquet [8], a differential equation with periodic coefficients admits at least one periodic solution of the second kind, i.e., if $\psi(z)$ is a uniformly continuous solution, then

$$\psi(z+\tau;\, a,\, q) = \varepsilon\psi(z;\, a,\, q)\,,\ \forall z \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\tau$ is the period of the coefficients and the multiplier $\varepsilon$ is a constant. If $\varepsilon = 1$ the solution is of the same period as the coefficients and is called periodic of the first kind. Further identification of the multiplier leads to the solutions of the form

$$\psi(z;\, a,\, q) = e^{\imath\nu z} u_\nu(z;\, a,\, q)\,,\ \nu \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $u_\nu(z)$ is a periodic and uniformly continuous function of the first kind with the same period as the coefficient. We will call such solutions *Floquet solutions* to the Mathieu equation, and call $\nu$ its *characteristic exponent.*

It should be pointed out that though the sum of Floquet solutions is a solution to eq. (1.2), it is no longer a Floquet solution. Furthermore, the solutions according to eq. (2.2) with real $\nu$ constitute so-called stable solutions. There exists values $(a,\, q)$ for which such solutions do not exist, in which case $\nu$ becomes complex. These solutions are no longer bounded and hence are called unstable. The eigenvalues $a$ for which $\nu$ is real form bands [9], with gaps corresponding to complex characteristic exponents. For non integer characteristic exponent, there exists a space of solutions spanned by two independent solutions [4, 2, 3, 9]. For integer values of $\nu$ there exists only one solution which is usually written in terms of the Mathieu function $\mathrm{me}_\nu$ [3, 6, 5, 2]. Integer $\nu$ are border solutions to the eigenvalue bands.

We will state an important connection between the eigenvalue and the characteristic exponent as given by Kohn [4]. The solutions $\psi_1$, $\psi_2$ to a given pair $(a,\, q)$ with initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1\left(0;\, a,\, q\right) &= 1, \qquad \psi_1'\left(0;\, a,\, q\right) = 0 \\ \psi_2\left(0;\, a,\, q\right) &= 0, \qquad \psi_2'\left(0;\, a,\, q\right) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

form a fundamental system, i.e., they are linearly independent [3]. Any solution to a pair $(a,\, q)$ can be written with those solutions. A Floquet solution satisfies

$$\psi\left(z+\tau;\, a,\, q\right) = \varepsilon\psi\left(z;\, a,\, q\right),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\tau;\, a,\, q\right) &= \varepsilon\psi\left(0;\, a,\, q\right), \\ \psi'\left(\tau;\, a,\, q\right) &= \varepsilon\psi'\left(0;\, a,\, q\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon = e^{\imath\nu\tau}$. Rewriting $\psi\left(z;\, a,\, q\right)$ as a linear combination of $\psi_1\left(z;\, a,\, q\right)$ and $\psi_2\left(z;\, a,\, q\right)$

$$\psi = A\psi_1 + B\psi_2$$

one obtains the equation

$$\varepsilon^2 - 2\mu\left(a\right)\varepsilon + 1 = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

upon elimination of $A$ and $B$. Here $\mu\left(a\right)$ is an entire function of $a$ that can be expressed as

$$\mu\left(a\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_1\left(\tau;\, a,\, q\right) + \psi_2'\left(\tau;\, a,\, q\right)\right).$$

Insertion of $\varepsilon = e^{\imath\nu\tau}$ according to the Floquet theorem in eq. (2.3) and subsequent multiplication by $e^{-\imath\nu\tau}$ gives

$$\cos\left(\nu\tau\right) = \mu\left(a\right). \tag{2.4}$$

This equation can be used to obtain a relation between the characteristic exponents and the eigenvalues of the operator $\hat{H}$. Unfortunately the function $\mu\left(a\right)$ cannot be written in such a way as to solve eq. (2.4).

2.2. **Determinantal equation.** Since $u_\nu$ is periodic, we will seek solutions $u_\nu \in L_2\left([0,\, \pi]\right)$ and extend these solution to $z \in \mathbb{R}$. We may expand the entire solution as the Fourier series

$$\psi\left(z,\, a\right) = e^{\imath\nu z}\sum_{\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}} c_{2\kappa}\left(\nu;\, a,\, q\right) e^{2i\kappa z},$$

which, inserted into eq. (1.2), gives the recurrence relation

$$\left(\left(2\kappa-\nu\right)^2 - a\right)c_{2\kappa} + q\left(c_{2(\kappa+1)} + c_{2(\kappa-1)}\right) = 0,\ \forall\kappa\in\mathbb{Z} \tag{2.5}$$

for the Fourier coefficients $c_{2\kappa}\left(a,\, \nu\right)$. Since $u_\nu \in L_2\left([0,\, \pi]\right)$, we see that $\left\{c_{2\kappa}\right\}_{\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)$ whereupon

$$\sum_{\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}} \left|c_{2\kappa}\left(\nu;\, a,\, q\right)\right|^2 < \infty.$$

For any finite (truncated) upper limit $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, eq. (2.5) can be written as a matrix equation,

$$A_n\left(\nu;\, a,\, q\right)\overline{c}_n = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

where $\overline{c}_n = \left(c_{-2n}, \ldots, c_{-2}, c_0, c_2, c_4, \ldots, c_{2n}\right)^T$ and $A_n\left(\nu;\, a,\, q\right)$ is a $2n+1 \times 2n+1$ matrix. For the sake of convergence (see below) we will define the equivalent system of equations

$$c_{2\kappa} + \frac{q\left(c_{2(\kappa+1)} + c_{2(\kappa-1)}\right)}{\left(2\kappa - \nu\right)^2 - a} \quad = \quad 0,\ \forall \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.7}$$

whereupon eq. (2.5) takes the form

$$\mathcal{A}_n\left(\nu;\, a,\, q\right)\overline{c}_n = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

with
(2.9)

$$\mathcal{A}_n\left(\nu;\, a,\, q\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi_{2n} & & & & & & & & & \\ \xi_{2n-2} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \xi_4 & 1 & \xi_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & 0 & \xi_2 & 1 & \xi_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \xi_0 & 1 & \xi_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_{-2} & 1 & \xi_{-2} & 0 & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_{-4} & 1 & \xi_{-4} & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \xi_{-2n+2} \\ & & & & & & & & & \xi_{-2n} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\xi_{2\kappa} = \frac{q}{\left(2\kappa - \nu\right)^2 - a},\ \forall \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For the finite dimensional case, finding the non-trivial solutions of eq. (2.8) is equivalent to demanding

$$\det\left(\mathcal{A}_n\right) = 0.$$

The determinant can, however, not always be defined for the infinite dimensional case.

The determinant $\det\left(\mathcal{C} + \mathbf{I}\right)$ of an operator $\mathcal{C} + \mathbf{I}$ defined on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, can in certain cases be defined by the product of $\left(1 + \lambda_i\right)$ where $\lambda_i$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{C}$. For trace class operators $\mathcal{C}$, this product is well defined, independent of the choice of basis and converges absolutely [10]. A trace class operator, suitably defined on a separable Hilbert space, has the property

$$\mathbf{Tr}\left(\left|\mathcal{C}\right|\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i < \infty,$$

where $\mu_i = \left|\lambda_i\right|$ are the singular values of $\mathcal{C}$ [10, 11]. For such operators one can define the trace norm

$$\left\|\mathcal{C}\right\|_{\mathbf{Tr}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i.$$

We can consider the operator $\mathcal{B}_n : \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ with $\mathcal{B}_n := \mathcal{A}_n - \mathbf{I}$ . By letting $n \to \infty$, the limiting matrices $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ will constitute operators on the infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\ell_2\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{B}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{+1} + \mathcal{B}_{-1}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{+1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{-1}$ are single sub- and super-diagonal, respectively, with elements $\left(\ldots,\, \xi_{-2n},\, \xi_{-2n+2},\, \ldots,\, \xi_{-2},\, \xi_0,\, \xi_2,\, \ldots\right)$. The singular values of these operators will hence be $|\xi_{2\kappa}|$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$. The sum of the singular values of these operators will be

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Tr}\left(|\mathcal{B}_{\pm 1}|\right) &= \sum_{\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}} |\xi_{2\kappa}| \\ &= \sum_{\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}} \left|\frac{q}{(2\kappa-\nu)^2-a}\right|\end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten

$$\sum_{\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}} |\xi_{2\kappa}| = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}} \left|\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\right| \cdot \left|\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{\nu}{2\kappa}\right)^2-\frac{a}{4\kappa^2}}\right|. \tag{2.10}$$

This sum will converge since $\sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa^\chi}$, $\chi > 1$, converges and the terms under the nominator in eq. (2.10) are inferior to $|r|^{-p}$, $1 < p < 2$ for large enough $\kappa$. This is valid for all finite values of $a$ and $\nu$ for which no denominator of $\xi_{2\kappa}$ vanishes, i.e.,

$$2\kappa - \nu \neq \pm\sqrt{a}. \tag{2.11}$$

The operators $\mathcal{B}_{+1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{-1}$ defined on $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are therefore trace class operators with trace norms

$$\|\mathcal{B}_{\pm 1}\|_{\mathbf{Tr}} = \mathbf{Tr}\left(|\mathcal{B}_{\pm 1}|\right).$$

Furthermore, by the triangle inequality of the trace norm [11] we can write

$$\|\mathcal{B}_{+1}+\mathcal{B}_{-1}\|_{\mathbf{Tr}} \leq \|\mathcal{B}_{+1}\|_{\mathbf{Tr}} + \|\mathcal{B}_{-1}\|_{\mathbf{Tr}},$$

thus

$$\|\mathcal{B}\|_{\mathbf{Tr}} \leq 2\cdot\|\mathcal{B}_{\pm 1}\|_{\mathbf{Tr}} < \infty.$$

The operator $\mathcal{B}$ is hence also of trace class. By the properties of trace class operators [12, 10, 11] one can define the convergent determinant $\det(\mathcal{A}) = \prod_{\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}} \lambda_\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ of the operator $\mathbf{I}+\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ where $\lambda_\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$. The operator, by the Fredholm alternatives, is then boundedly invertible if and only if $\det(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$ [12].

The recursion system of eq. (2.5) will render $\psi$ zero if two consecutive coefficients vanish. Seeking the non-trivial solutions of eq. (2.6) is then equivalent to demanding that

$$\det\left(\mathcal{A}(\nu;\, a,\, q)\right) = 0.$$

### 2.3. **Whittaker-Hill formula.**

Whittaker was able to produce an astounding formula for the determinant corresponding to the full Hill equation [14]. We will describe this result for the determinant $\Delta(a,\, \nu) = \det\left(\mathcal{A}(\nu;\, a,\, q)\right)$ for the Mathieu problem following the discourse of McLachlan [2].

Since $\kappa$ takes all values from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, the determinant $\Delta(a,\, \nu)$ will be left invariant under the change $\nu \to -\nu$, hence $\Delta(a,\, \nu)$ is even in $\nu$, i.e., $\Delta(a,\, -\nu) = \Delta(a,\, \nu)$. Furthermore, $(2\kappa - (\nu+2)) = (2(\kappa+1)-\nu)$, giving $\Delta(a,\, \nu+1) = \Delta(a,\, \nu)$ again since $\kappa$ takes all values from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, i.e. the determinant is a periodic function in $\nu$ with period 1. We can hence restrict the study of the analytic behavior of $\Delta(a,\, -\nu)$ to the strip $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$. $\Delta$ is a determinant and thus is a sum of products of $\xi_i(a,\, \nu)$. Disregarding their poles, the functions $\xi_i(a,\, \nu)$ are analytic functions hence so is $\Delta$ except at the poles of the functions $\xi_i(a,\, \nu)$. We see by the structure of $\mathcal{A}$ that no term in $\xi_{2\kappa}$ will appear in higher powers than 1, i.e., all poles for the values of $a$ and $\nu$ satisfying eq. (2.11) will be simple.

The function $\mathcal{D}(a,\, \nu)$,

$$\mathcal{D}(a,\, \nu) = \frac{1}{\cos(\pi\nu) - \cos(\pi\sqrt{a})}$$

also has simple poles at those values of $a$ and $\nu$. If the function $C(\nu)$ is appropriately chosen, the function

$$\Theta(a,\,\nu) = \Delta(a,\nu) - C(\nu)\cdot\mathcal{D}(a,\,\nu)$$

has no singularities. Note that $\Delta(a,\,\nu)$ has only one pole on the strip $0 \le \nu \le 1$. By the periodicity (in $\nu$) of $\Delta(a,\,\nu)$, finding the function $C(\nu)$ is reduced to calculating the constant $C = C(\nu)$ corresponding to the quotient between the residuals of the functions $\Delta(a,\,\nu)$ and $\mathcal{D}(a,\,\nu)$ at that pole. With the proper value of $C$, $\Theta(a,\,\nu)$ is analytic on the whole complex plane and has no poles. It must hence, by Liouville's theorem, be a constant.

In the limiting case $\nu \to +\imath\infty$, the matrix $\mathcal{A}(\nu;\,a,\,q)$ becomes diagonal dominant, i.e., only the diagonal elements (equal to 1) remain in this limit since $\lim_{\nu\to\imath\infty}\xi_{2\kappa} = 0,\ \forall\kappa\in\mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$\lim_{\nu\to+\imath\infty}\Delta(a,\,\nu) = 1.$$

We see further that $\lim_{\nu\to\imath\infty}\mathcal{D}(a,\,\nu) = 0$, hence $\Theta(a,\,\nu) = 1$. It follows that

$$C = \frac{\Delta(a,\,\nu) - 1}{\mathcal{D}(a,\,\nu)}. \tag{2.12}$$

For the case $\nu = 0$ we have $\mathcal{D}(a,\,0) = 1/\left(1 - \cos\left(\pi\sqrt{a}\right)\right)$. Excluding the values of $a = 4\kappa^2$ for which $\Delta(a,\,\nu = 0)$ cannot be defined, we can write

$$C = \frac{\Delta(a,\,0) - 1}{1 - \cos\left(\pi\sqrt{a}\right)}.$$

By substitution into eq. (2.12) one finds that

$$\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right) = \Delta(a,\,0)\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{a}}{2}\right),\ a \ne 4\kappa^2. \tag{2.13}$$

The characteristic exponents resulting from the eigenvalue $a$ are solutions of this equation. This formula was shown by Whittaker [14] for the full Hill problem. The full Hill problem of eq. (1.1) has an infinite number of Fourier coefficients. The matrix $\mathcal{A}$ will in this case be a full matrix, i.e., not merely a tridiagonal matrix as in the Mathieu problem.

## 3. CALCULATING $\Delta(0)$

**3.1. $\Delta(0)$ as the limiting case of a recursion.** By the Whittaker-Hill formula, the calculation of the characteristic exponents of the eigenvalue $a$ is reduced to the calculation of $\Delta(0) = \Delta(a,\,\nu = 0)$. The determinant of an infinite dimensional square matrix can be defined by recursion. Defining the square tridiagonal matrices

$$\mathcal{A}_i^0 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \xi^0_{2i} & 0 & & & \\
\xi^0_{2(i-1)} & 1 & \xi^0_{2(i-1)} & & & \\
0 & \xi^0_{2(i-2)} & 1 & & & \\
 & & & \ddots & & \\
 & & & & \ddots & \\
 & & & 1 & \xi^0_{2(i-2)} & 0 \\
 & & & \xi^0_{-2(i-1)} & 1 & \xi^0_{-2(i-1)} \\
 & & & 0 & \xi^0_{-2i} & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

with diagonal elements 1. The off diagonal elements are collected in the vectors $\left(\xi^0_{i-1},\,\xi^0_{i-2},\,\ldots,\,\xi^0_0,\ldots,\,\xi^0_{-i}\right)$ and $\left(\xi^0_i,\,\ldots,\,\xi^0_0,\ldots,\,\xi^0_{-(i-2)},\,\xi^0_{-(i-1)}\right)$. Here $\xi^0_i$ denotes $\xi_i\,(\nu = 0)$ as previously defined. The determinant $\Delta(0)$ is hence defined as the limiting case of $\Delta_i^0 = \det\left(\mathcal{A}_i^0\right)$, i.e.,

$$\Delta(0) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \Delta_i^0 .$$

Since for $\nu = 0$, $\xi_{-i}^0 = \xi_i^0$, we see that the matrices $\mathcal{A}_i$ are symmetric with respect to the second diagonal.

We see that any matrix $\mathcal{A}_i^0$, $i > 2$, can be written in terms of $\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0$ through

$$\mathcal{A}_i^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi_i^0 & & & \\ \xi_{i-1}^0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0 & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \xi_{i-1}^0 \\ & & & \xi_i^0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ i > 2,$$

where the dotted square represent the outer elements of $\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0$. Defining the truncation operators of a matrix $M$: $r(M)$, $l(M)$, $u(M)$, $d(M)$, i.e., the matrices $M$ without left $(r(M))$, respectively right $(l(M))$, columns and the upper $(d(M))$, respectively lower$(u(M))$, rows, we can write the Laplace decomposition of the determinant of the matrix $\mathcal{A}_i^0$. Developing along the first row we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det\left(\mathcal{A}_i^0\right) &= \begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0 & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \xi_{i-1}^0 \\ & & \xi_i^0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} - \\ & \quad -\xi_i^0 \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{i-1}^0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & r\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right) & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \xi_{i-1}^0 \\ & & & \xi_i^0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and then developing the first term on the last row and the second term by the first column,

$$\begin{aligned} \det\left(\mathcal{A}_i^0\right) &= \det\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right) - \xi_i^0 \begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & l\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right) & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \xi_{i-1}^0 \end{vmatrix} - \\ & \quad -\xi_i^0 \xi_{i-1}^0 \begin{vmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & dr\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right) & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \xi_{i-1}^0 \\ & & \xi_i^0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding in a similar fashion one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \det\left(\mathcal{A}_i^0\right) &= \Delta_{i-1}^0 - \xi_i^0 \xi_{i-1}^0 \det\left(ul\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right)\right) - \xi_i^0 \xi_{i-1}^0 \det\left(rd\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right)\right) - \\ & \quad + \left(\xi_i^0 \xi_{i-1}^0\right)^2 \det\left(uldr\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

One sees directly that $uldr\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right) = \mathcal{A}_{i-2}^0$. Furthermore, by the symmetry properties around the second diagonal, $\det\left(rd\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right)\right) = \det\left(ul\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right)\right)$. Thus we conclude that

$$\Delta_i^0 = \Delta_{i-1}^0 - 2 \cdot \xi_i^0 \xi_{i-1}^0 \det\left(rd\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right)\right) + \left(\xi_i^0 \xi_{i-1}^0\right)^2 \Delta_{i-2}^0 . \tag{3.1}$$

By simply rewriting eq. (3.1)

$$\Delta_i^0 - \Delta_{i-1}^0 = \left(\xi_i^0 \xi_{i-1}^0\right)^2 \Delta_{i-2}^0 - 2 \cdot \xi_i^0 \xi_{i-1}^0 \det\left(rd\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right)\right),$$

we see that the series converges since $\lim_{i\to\infty} \xi_i = 0$.

By further defining $\Omega_i = \det\left(ul\left(\mathcal{A}_i^0\right)\right) = \det\left(rd\left(\mathcal{A}_i^0\right)\right)$ and decomposing by minors, one can write

$$\Omega_i = \det\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right) - \xi_i^0 \xi_{i-1}^0 \Omega_{i-1} . \tag{3.2}$$

Substituting det $\left(rd\left(\mathcal{A}_{i-1}^0\right)\right)$ in eq. (3.1) one finds the recursion in $\Delta_i$,

$$\Delta_i = \beta_i \Delta_{i-1} - \alpha_i \beta_i \Delta_{i-2} + \alpha_i \alpha_{i-1}^2 \Delta_{i-3}, \tag{3.3}$$

where we have substituted $\xi_i^0 \xi_{i-1}^0 = \alpha_i$ and $1 - \alpha_i = \beta_i$.

### 3.2. **A solution to linear third-order recursions without constant terms.**

We consider the recursion

$$u_i = a_i u_{i-1} + b_i u_{i-2} + c_i u_{i-3}. \tag{3.4}$$

By simply rewriting it for $i-1$ and multiplicating it with $-c_i/b_{i-1}$, assuming $b_i \neq 0$, $\forall i$,

$$-\frac{c_i}{b_{i-1}} u_{i-1} = -\frac{a_{i-1} c_i}{b_{i-1}} u_{i-2} - c_i u_{i-3} - \frac{c_i c_{i-1}}{b_{i-1}} u_{i-4}. \tag{3.5}$$

Summing eqs. (3.4) and (3.5) one finds

$$u_i = \left(a_i + \frac{c_i}{b_{i-1}}\right) u_{i-1} + \left(b_i - \frac{a_{i-1} c_i}{b_{i-1}}\right) u_{i-2} - \frac{c_i c_{i-1}}{b_{i-1}} u_{i-4}. \tag{3.6}$$

One can hence, by this iteration procedure, construct a sum equal to some $u_i$ over $n$ elements $u_k$, where the coefficient for $u_{i-n+1}$ vanishes,

$$u_k = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j} u_{k-j}\right] + \left\{\mathcal{G}_n^k\right\} u_{k-n}. \tag{3.7}$$

Here $\left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j}$ are the coefficients corresponding to the sum equal to $u_k$ with last term in the sum $u_{k-n}$. Using this ansatz and eliminating $\left\{\mathcal{G}_n^k\right\} u_{k-n}$ as previously one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{g_{n+1}^k\right\}_{k-j} &= \begin{cases} \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j} & , 1 < i < n-3, \\[2ex] \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-(n-2)} + \frac{\left\{\mathcal{G}_n^k\right\}}{b_{k-(n-2)}} & , j = n-2 \\[2ex] -\left\{\mathcal{G}_n^k\right\} \frac{a_{k-(n-2)}}{b_{k-(n-2)}} \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-(n-1)} & , j = n-1 \end{cases} , \\
\left\{\mathcal{G}_{n+1}^k\right\} &= -\left\{\mathcal{G}_n^k\right\} \frac{c_{k-(n-2)}}{b_{k-(n-2)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since these recursions are of first order, one can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{g_{n+1}^k\right\}_{k-j} &= \begin{cases} \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j} & , 1 < i < n-3 \\[2ex] (-1)^{n-5} \cdot \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-(n-2)} \times & , j = n-2 \\ \quad \times \frac{c_k c_{k-1}}{b_{k-(n-2)} \cdot b_{k-1}} \cdot \prod_{j=3}^{n-3} \frac{c_{k-j}}{b_{k-j}} & \\[2ex] (-1)^{n-6} \cdot \frac{a_{k-(n-2)} \cdot c_k \cdot c_{k-1}}{b_{k-(n-2)} b_{k-1}} \times & , j = n-1 \\ \quad \times \prod_{j=3}^{n-3} \frac{c_{k-j}}{b_{k-j}} & \end{cases} , \\
\left\{\mathcal{G}_{n+1}^k\right\} &= (-1)^{n-5} \cdot \frac{c_k c_{k-1}}{b_{k-1}} \cdot \prod_{j=3}^{n-3} \frac{c_{k-j}}{b_{k-j}},
\end{aligned}$$

from the initial values of the recursion given by eq. (3.6). We can hence write all $\left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{G}_{n+1}^k\right\}$ explicitly.

Being able to write such sums for any relevant $u_k$ and $n$, one can eliminate the term of highest index on the r.h.s. of eq. (3.7). If we consider

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j} u_{k-j}\right] + \left\{\mathcal{G}_n^k\right\} u_{k-n} \\ &= \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-1} u_{k-1} + \left[\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j} u_{k-j}\right] + \left\{\mathcal{G}_n^k\right\} u_{k-n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u_{k-1} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \left\{g_m^{k-1}\right\}_{k-1-j} u_{k-j}\right] + \left\{\mathcal{G}_m^{k-1}\right\} u_{k-m},$$

summing the two we find

$$\begin{aligned} u_k = & \left[\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j} u_{k-j}\right] + \left\{\mathcal{G}_n^k\right\} u_{k-n} + \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-1} \left[\sum_{j=2}^{m-1} \left\{g_m^{k-1}\right\}_{k-j} u_{k-j}\right] + \\ & + \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-1} \left\{\mathcal{G}_m^{k-1}\right\} u_{k-1-m}. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose $m$ such that

$$m + 1 = n,$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= \left(\left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-2} + \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-1} \left\{g_{n-1}^{k-1}\right\}_{k-2}\right) u_{k-2} + \\ &+ \left[\sum_{j=3}^{n-2} \left(\left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j} + \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-1} \left\{g_{n-1}^{k-1}\right\}_{k-j}\right) u_{k-j}\right] + \\ &+ \left(\left\{\mathcal{G}_n^k\right\} + \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-1} \left\{\mathcal{G}_{n-1}^{k-1}\right\}\right) u_{k-n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Upon $\ell$ such eliminations, one can hence write $u_k$ as

$$u_k = \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{n-2} \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-j}^{\ell} u_{k-j} + \left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{\ell} u_{k-n} \tag{3.9}$$

where $\left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-j}^{\ell}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{\ell}$ are the coefficients corresponding to $u_{k-j}$ and $u_{k-n}$ respectively, after $\ell$ eliminations. If we proceed as previously and eliminate $u_{k-(\ell+1)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= \sum_{j=\ell+2}^{n-2} \left(\left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-j}^{\ell} + \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-\ell-1}^{\ell} \left\{g_{n-\ell}^{k-\ell}\right\}_{k-j}\right) u_{k-j} + \\ &+ \left(\left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-\ell-1}^{\ell} \left\{\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{k-\ell}\right\} + \left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{\ell}\right) u_{k-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can define recursions for $\left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-j}^{\ell}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{\ell}$ through

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-j}^{\ell+1} &= \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-j}^{\ell} + \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-\ell-1}^{\ell} \left\{g_{n-\ell}^{k-\ell}\right\}_{k-j}, \\ \left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{\ell+1} &= \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-\ell-1}^{\ell} \left\{\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{k-\ell}\right\} + \left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{\ell}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

which are second, respectively first, order recurrences.

By calculating the coefficient of $u_{k-j}$ of highest index, i.e., $\left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-\ell-1}^{\ell}$, one can recognize a structure for these coefficients. $\left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-\ell-1}^{\ell}$ can be written as a sum of products of $\left\{g_{n-\mu}^{k-\mu}\right\}_{k-\nu}$ where the number of terms in the products range over all values from 1 to $\ell+1$. As an example, we consider such a sum for $\left\{\gamma^k_n\right\}^6_{k-7}$ for terms with four $\left\{g^{k-\mu}_{n-\mu}\right\}_{k-\nu}$ factors. These terms are written in the left column and the indices $(\mu,\,\nu)$ corresponding to the terms $\left\{g^{k-\mu}_{n-\mu}\right\}_{k-\nu}$ are shown in the right column,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\left\{g^k_n\right\}_{k-1}\left\{g^{k-1}_{n-1}\right\}_{k-2}\left\{g^{k-2}_{n-2}\right\}_{k-3}\left\{g^{k-3}_{n-3}\right\}_{k-6}+ & \vdots & (0,\,1)\,(1,\,2)\,(2,\,3)\,(3,\,6) \\
+\left\{g^k_n\right\}_{k-1}\left\{g^{k-1}_{n-1}\right\}_{k-2}\left\{g^{k-2}_{n-2}\right\}_{k-4}\left\{g^{k-4}_{n-4}\right\}_{k-6}+ & \vdots & (0,\,1)\,(1,\,2)\,(2,\,4)\,(4,\,6) \\
+\left\{g^k_n\right\}_{k-1}\left\{g^{k-1}_{n-1}\right\}_{k-2}\left\{g^{k-2}_{n-2}\right\}_{k-5}\left\{g^{k-5}_{n-5}\right\}_{k-6}+ & \vdots & (0,\,1)\,(1,\,2)\,(2,\,5)\,(5,\,6) \\
+\left\{g^k_n\right\}_{k-1}\left\{g^{k-1}_{n-1}\right\}_{k-3}\left\{g^{k-3}_{n-3}\right\}_{k-4}\left\{g^{k-4}_{n-4}\right\}_{k-6}+ & \vdots & (0,\,1)\,(1,\,3)\,(3,\,4)\,(4,\,6) \\
+\left\{g^k_n\right\}_{k-1}\left\{g^{k-1}_{n-1}\right\}_{k-3}\left\{g^{k-3}_{n-3}\right\}_{k-5}\left\{g^{k-5}_{n-5}\right\}_{k-6}+ & \vdots & (0,\,1)\,(1,\,3)\,(3,\,5)\,(5,\,6) \\
+\left\{g^k_n\right\}_{k-1}\left\{g^{k-1}_{n-1}\right\}_{k-4}\left\{g^{k-4}_{n-4}\right\}_{k-5}\left\{g^{k-5}_{n-5}\right\}_{k-6}+ & \vdots & (0,\,1)\,(1,\,4)\,(4,\,5)\,(5,\,6) \\
+\left\{g^k_n\right\}_{k-2}\left\{g^{k-2}_{n-2}\right\}_{k-3}\left\{g^{k-3}_{n-3}\right\}_{k-4}\left\{g^{k-4}_{n-4}\right\}_{k-6}+ & \vdots & (0,\,2)\,(2,\,3)\,(3,\,4)\,(4,\,6) \\
+\left\{g^k_n\right\}_{k-2}\left\{g^{k-2}_{n-2}\right\}_{k-3}\left\{g^{k-3}_{n-3}\right\}_{k-5}\left\{g^{k-5}_{n-5}\right\}_{k-6}+ & \vdots & (0,\,2)\,(2,\,3)\,(3,\,5)\,(5,\,6) \\
+\left\{g^k_n\right\}_{k-2}\left\{g^{k-2}_{n-2}\right\}_{k-4}\left\{g^{k-4}_{n-4}\right\}_{k-5}\left\{g^{k-5}_{n-5}\right\}_{k-6}+ & \vdots & (0,\,2)\,(2,\,4)\,(4,\,5)\,(5,\,6) \\
+\left\{g^k_n\right\}_{k-3}\left\{g^{k-3}_{n-3}\right\}_{k-4}\left\{g^{k-4}_{n-4}\right\}_{k-5}\left\{g^{k-5}_{n-5}\right\}_{k-6} & \vdots & (0,\,3)\,(3,\,4)\,(4,\,5)\,(5,\,6)\,.
\end{array}$$

One can construct the sequences of indices $(\mu,\,\nu)$ by considering a line with $\ell+1$ points labeled in ascending order from 0 to $\ell$. By further considering $p$ unidirectional non-stationary jumps from the point labeled 0 to the point labeled $\ell$, one gets a sequence of initial and final points for the jumps e.g. $\{(0,\,1)\,(1,\,2)\,(2,\,4)\,(4,\,6)\}$ for $\ell=6$ and $p=4$. We define the ensemble $\mathcal{S}^{\ell+1}_p$ of all such possible jumps, and by $\left\{\mathcal{S}^{\ell+1}_p\right\}_i$ its $i$:th element. We can hence write the sum of all terms with $p$ factors in $\left\{g^{k-\mu}_{n-\mu}\right\}_{k-\nu}$ as

$$\mathcal{P}^{\ell+1}_p=\sum_i\prod_{(\mu,\,\nu)\in\left\{\mathcal{S}^{\ell+1}_p\right\}_i}\left\{g^{k-\mu}_{n-\mu}\right\}_{k-\nu}\,, \tag{3.11}$$

whereupon we find

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{\gamma^k_n\right\}^{\ell}_{k-m-1} &= \sum_{p=1}^{\ell+1}\mathcal{P}^{\ell+1}_p \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{\ell+1}\sum_i\prod_{(\mu,\,\nu)\in\left\{\mathcal{S}^{\ell+1}_p\right\}_i}\left\{g^{k-\mu}_{n-\mu}\right\}_{k-\nu}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

With this, we can simply write the now first order recursions eqs. (3.10) as

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{\gamma^k_n\right\}^{\ell+1}_{k-j} &= \left\{g^k_n\right\}_{k-j}+\sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell}\left\{\gamma^k_n\right\}^{\sigma}_{k-m-1}\cdot\left\{g^{k-\sigma-1}_{n-\sigma-1}\right\}_{k-j}\,, \\ \left\{\Gamma^k_n\right\}^{\ell+1} &= \left\{\mathcal{G}^k_n\right\}+\sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell}\left\{\gamma^k_n\right\}^{\sigma}_{k-m-1}\cdot\left\{\mathcal{G}^{k-\sigma-1}_{n-\sigma-1}\right\}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

or using the properties of $\left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-m-1}^{\ell}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(3.14)\qquad \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-j}^{\ell+1} &= \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j} + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{\sigma+1}\mathcal{P}_p^{\ell+1}\right]\cdot\left\{g_{n-\sigma-1}^{k-\sigma-1}\right\}_{k-j},\\ \left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{\ell+1} &= \left\{\mathcal{G}_n^k\right\} + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{\sigma+1}\mathcal{P}_p^{\ell+1}\right]\cdot\left\{\mathcal{G}_{n-\sigma-1}^{k-\sigma-1}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

The elimination process can be iterated until only three terms remain in

$$\begin{aligned}(3.15)\quad u_k &= \sum_{j=n-3}^{n-2}\left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-j}^{n-4}u_{k-j} + \left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{n-4}u_{k-n}\\ &= \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-n-3}^{n-4}u_{k-n-3} + \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-n-2}^{n-3}u_{k-n-2} + \left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{n-4}u_{k-n}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-n-3}^{n-4}$, $\left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-n-2}^{n-3}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{n-4}$ can be calculated explicitly with the help of $\left\{g_{n-\mu}^{k-\mu}\right\}_{k-\nu}$. Since $\left\{g_{n-\mu}^{k-\mu}\right\}_{k-\nu}$ are also known explicitly, eq. (3.15) is an explicit solution for $u_k$ knowing $u_{k-n-3}$, $u_{k-n-2}$ and $u_{k-n}$ for some relevant $n$.

The only assumption we have made here is that $b_i \neq 0$, $\forall i$. Unfortunately eq. (3.15) is quite cumbersome. It is hence difficult to make any general statement on the convergence of eq. (3.15). By the simplicity of this scheme, we see no apparent reason why it should not be possible to generalize to any finite order recursion

$$(3.16)\qquad u_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i-j}^{j}u_{i-j}.$$

3.3. **An explicit form for $\Delta(0)$.** Using the results of section 3.2, eq. (3.3) can be written

$$(3.17)\qquad \Delta_k = \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-n-3}^{n-4}\Delta_{k-n-3} + \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-n-2}^{n-3}\Delta_{k-n-2} + \left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{n-4}\Delta_{k-n}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}(3.18)\qquad \left\{\gamma_n^k\right\}_{k-j}^{\ell+1} &= \left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j} + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{\sigma+1}\mathcal{P}_p^{\ell+1}\right]\cdot\left\{g_{n-\sigma-1}^{k-\sigma-1}\right\}_{k-j},\\ \left\{\Gamma_n^k\right\}^{\ell+1} &= \left\{\mathcal{G}_n^k\right\} + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{\ell}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{\sigma+1}\mathcal{P}_p^{\ell+1}\right]\cdot\left\{\mathcal{G}_{n-\sigma-1}^{k-\sigma-1}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of the coefficients of eq. (3.3),

$$(3.19)\left\{g_{n+1}^k\right\}_{k-j} = \begin{cases}\left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-j} & ,\ 1 < j \leq n-3\\[1em] (-1)^{n-5}\cdot\left\{g_n^k\right\}_{k-(n-2)}\times & ,\ j = n-2\\ \quad\times\frac{\alpha_k\cdot\alpha_{k-1}^3\cdot\alpha_{k-2}^2}{\beta_{k-(n-2)}\cdot\beta_{k-1}}\cdot\prod_{j=3}^{n-3}\frac{\alpha_{k-j-1}^2}{\beta_{k-j}} & \\[1em] (-1)^{n-6}\cdot\frac{\alpha_k\cdot\alpha_{k-1}^2\cdot\alpha_{k-2}^2}{\alpha_{k-(n-2)}\beta_{k-1}}\times & ,\ j = n-1\\ \quad\times\prod_{j=3}^{n-3}\frac{\alpha_{k-j-1}^2}{\beta_{k-j}} & \end{cases},$$

$$(3.20)\qquad \left\{\mathcal{G}_{n+1}^k\right\} = (-1)^{n-5}\cdot\frac{\alpha_k\cdot\alpha_{k-1}^2\cdot\alpha_{k-2}^2}{\beta_{k-1}}\cdot\prod_{j=3}^{n-3}\frac{\alpha_{k-j-1}^2}{\beta_{k-j}}.$$

We have thus found an explicit expression for $\Delta(0)$. Note that the denominators diverge for those values of $a$ for which $\beta_i = 1 - \alpha_i = 0$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Unfortunately the form of eq. (3.17) does not, à priori, shed light on specific properties of the solutions of the Whittaker-Hill formula eq. (2.13).

3.4. **Numerical considerations.** Considering the minors of determinants equivalent to $\Delta_n$, R. Sips was able to produce a method for calculating the characteristic exponent [13]. His method effectively produces first order linear recurrences for $n$ minors $D_i$ with coefficients $\alpha_i$, whereupon the determinant $\Delta_n$ can be written

$$\Delta_n = D_1^2 - \alpha_1^2 D_2^2.$$

For small values of $q$, his method converges rapidly since $\alpha_i = \xi_i \xi_{i-1}$ decreases rapidly with growing index $i$. Having calculated a $\Delta_n$ one has, however, to calculate $\Delta_{n'}$ of higher order, to repeat the whole procedure.

We see that the convergence of our recurrence eq. (3.3)

$$\Delta_i = \beta_i \Delta_{i-1} - \alpha_i \beta_i \Delta_{i-2} + \alpha_i \alpha_{i-1}^2 \Delta_{i-3},$$

is also directly linked to the behavior of $\alpha_i$ ($\beta_i = 1 - \alpha_i$). The cost of further calculating determinants of higher orders will, however, be considerably less than for the method of R. Sips, since one only has to successively iterate eq. (3.3).

## 4. CONCLUSION

With the Whittaker-Hill formula we have derived a third order determinantal recursion. By the decomposition of the third order recursion to first order recursions we can write any relevant term of the recursion explicitly. We believe that this scheme can be generalized to any linear recursion of order greater than one. The explicit formula can be used to write the sought after determinant explicitly. We expect applications to the numerical treatment of the relation between eigenvalues and characteristic exponents.

*Acknowledgment.* I would like to thank Jens Bolte for valuable comments and discussions.

## REFERENCES

[1] É. MATHIEU, Mémoire sur le mouvement vibratoire d'une membrane de forme élliptique, Journal de Mathématiques pures et appliquées (Journal de Liouville) **13**, 137, [1868]

[2] N.W. MCLACHLAN, Theory and application of Mathieu functions, Dover Publications [1964]

[3] J. MEIXNER, F.W. SCHÄFKE, Mathieusche Funktionen und Sphäroidfunktionen, Springer Verlag, [1954]

[4] W. KOHN, Analytic properties of Bloch waves and Wannier functions, Phys. Rev. **115**, 4, [1959]

[5] I.S. GRADSTEYN, I.M. RYZHIK, Table of integrals series and products, *Fouth edition*, Academic press, [1965]

[6] M. ABRAHAMOVITZ, I. STEGUN, Handbook of mathematical functions, Dover publications, [1964]

[7] D. FRENKEL, R. PORTUGAL, Algebraic methods to compute Mathieu functions, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., **34**, 3541-3551, [2001]

[8] G. FLOQUET, Sur les équations différentielles linéaires à coéfficients périodiques, Annales Scientifiques de l'E.N.S., $2^e$ série, tome **12**, p. 47-88, [1883]

[9] M. REED, B. SIMON, Methods of modern mathematical physics, *vol. 4: Analysis of operators*,Academic Press [1978]

[10] B. SIMON, Trace ideals and their applications, London Mathematical Society, Lecture Note Series 35, Cambridge University Press [1979]

[11] T. KATO, Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer Verlag [1980]

[12] D.R. YAFAEV, Mathematical scattering theory, Translations of mathematical monographs, *vol. 105*, American Mathematical Society, [1992]

[13] R. SIPS, Nouvelle méthode pour le calcul de l'exposant caractéristique de l'équation de Mathieu-Hill, Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci., **51**, p. 191-206, [1965]

[14] E.T. WHITTAKER, G.N. WATSON, A course of modern analysis, *fourth edition*, Cambridge at the university press [1962]

Abteilung Theoretische Physik, Universität Ulm, Albert-Einstein Allee 11, D89069 Ulm, Germany
*E-mail address*: `eric.straeng@uni-ulm.de`